



SOUTH DAKOTA BOARD OF REGENTS
ACADEMIC AFFAIRS FORMS

Institutional Program Review
Report to the Board of Regents

Use this form to submit a program review report to the system Chief Academic Officer. Complete this form for all units/programs undergoing an accreditation review, nationally recognized review process, or institutional program review. The report is due 30 days following receipt of the external and internal review reports.

UNIVERSITY:	SDSU
DEPARTMENT OR SCHOOL:	Engineering
PROGRAM REVIEWED:	BS Agricultural & Biosystems Engineering
DATE OF REVIEW:	9/29/2015

University Approval

To the Board of Regents and the Executive Director: I certify that I have read this report, that I believe it to be accurate, and that it has been evaluated and approved as provided by university policy.

Barry H. Durr

President of the University

11/4/2016

Date

1. Identify the program reviewers and any external accrediting body:

Engineering Accreditation Commission of the Accreditation Board for Engineering & Technology (ABET); it appointed the following reviewers:

Team Chair: Dr. Karen Fujikawa; Manager, BWR Stress Analysis, Castle Rock, CO
Program Evaluator: Dr. Ernest Toller; Professor, University of Georgia, Athens, GA

2. Items A & B should address the following issues: mission centrality, program quality, cost, program productivity, plans for the future, and assessment of progress.

2(A). Describe the strengths and weaknesses identified by the reviewers

Strengths: (1) College of Engineering facilities; (2) engagement with regional employers; (3) commitment to providing the best teaching training for faculty members (provided by the American Society for Engineering Education).

The EAC of ABET was so impressed with the accreditation visit and the high quality of the Agricultural & Biosystems Engineering self-study document provided by the program that it asked permission to use it (and the self-study documents for the other

three engineering programs) to place on display as a model for engineering programs at other universities at its annual ABET conference.

2(B). Briefly summarize the review recommendations

No recommendations or shortcomings cited.

2(C). Indicate the present and continuous actions to be taken by the college or department to address the issues raised by the review. What outcomes are anticipated as a result of these actions?